

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,466	09/16/2003	Jason E. Rehm	14045	8343
23676	7590 02/02/2006		EXAMINER	
SHELDON & MAK, INC			KRISHNAMURTHY, RAMESH	
	AKE AVENUE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
9TH FLOOR			AKTONII	FAFER NUMBER
PASADENA, CA 91101			3753	

DATE MAILED: 02/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		E				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/666,466	REHM ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ramesh Krishnamurthy	3753				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [In the state of th	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tid d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 i	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 November 2005</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	tion is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
· 	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1 - 34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 7, 12, 13, 16 and 20 - 34 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 - 6, 8 - 11, 14, 15 and 17 - 19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according an applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the file.	ecepted or b) objected to by the e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica iority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/26/05.	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail (8) 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/666,466 Page 2

Art Unit: 3753

invention.

This office action is responsive to amendment filed 11/18/2005.

Claims 1 – 34 are pending.

1. Applicant's election of Species A in the reply filed on 11/18/05 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). Claims 7, 12, 13, 16 and 20 – 34 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected

- 2. Claims 1-6, 8-11, 14, 15 and 17-19 remain for further consideration.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 3753

5. Claims 1 – 6, 8 – 11, 14, 15 and 17 - 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Hasselbrink, Jr. et al. (US 6,782,746 B1) in view of Provin et al. (IEEE Transactions, January 2002, Vol. 25, No.1, pp. 59 – 63).

The applied reference of Hasselbrink, Jr. et al. has a common inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference under 37 CFR 1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). This rejection might also be overcome by showing that the reference is disqualified under 35 U.S.C. 103(c) as prior art in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a). See MPEP § 706.02(l)(1) and § 706.02(l)(2).

Hasselbrink, Jr. et al. discloses (see Fig. 1, for example) a device (100) for microfluidic control comprising: a conduit having a first end (140) and a second end (141), a first path (upstream of (140)) and second path (downstream of (141)) in fluid flow contact with the conduit; and a regulator (120) that is moveable within the conduit wherein the regulator comprises a substantially elastic material, the regulator is a

composite polymer that is formed from a composite polymerizable mixture (Col. 6, line 36 – Col. 7, line 38) comprising a polymerizable precursor, a cross-linking agent and use of a photo-initiator is also disclosed. The regulator (100) has an outer dimension that is larger than the first and second ends of the conduit so that the regulator cannot pass out of the conduit.

The patent to Hasselbrink, Jr. et al. discloses the claimed invention with the exception of explicitly disclosing the elastic material to have a structural component or particulate filler.

Provin et al. discloses (on page 59, Col. 2) that it is known in the art to use particulate filler material such as ceramic powder with a liquid monomer for the purpose of providing the manufactured article with desired mechanical properties enabling their use as micro-components.

It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided in Hasselbrink, Jr. et al. use of a particulate filler material in a liquid monomer for the purpose of providing the manufactured article with desired mechanical properties enabling their use as micro-components.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims rejected above have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ramesh Krishnamurthy whose telephone number is (571) 272 – 4914. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 10:00 AM to 6:30 PM.

Art Unit: 3753

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric Keasel, can be reached on (571) 272 – 4929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273 – 8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ramesh Krishnamurthy, Ph.D., PE

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3753